

## Berthelot et al. vs. Boh Brothers Construction Co. L.L.C. et al

Year: 2006 Court: U.S. District Court, Louisiana Eastern District Case Number: 05-4182 section "K"(2)

The anti-concurrent causation language upon which Defendants rely has already been deemed ambiguous as a matter of law by another Federal Court addressing similar arguments raised by Defendants. Tuepker v. State Farm Fire and Cas. Co., 2006 WL 1442489 (S. D. Miss.). Furthermore, Defendants' position with regard to this language is in complete derogation of the "efficient proximate cause" doctrine, which has been adopted by the Louisiana Supreme Court and provides that a policyholder is entitled to coverage if a covered peril was the proximate or efficient cause of the loss or damage, notwithstanding that other excluded or non-covered perils contributed to the damage.

UP's brief was written pro bono by John. N. Ellison, Esq. and Darin J. McMullen, Esq. of Anderson Kill & Olick, PC, and Drew Ranier, Esq. of Ranier, Gayle & Elliot, LLC

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/berthelot-et-al-vs-boh-brothers-construction-co-l-l-c-et-al/ Date: November 26, 2024