

# California Medical Association vs. Aetna U.S. Healthcare of California

Year: 2001

Court: California Supreme Court

Case Number: S103631

Health Plans play the same function as health insurers and should be held to the same standards. Policyholders reasonably expect adequate payment by health plans for their healthcare. Because inadequate payment to physicians could compromise the quality of healthcare, underfunding intermediaries and not paying physicians violates the state's unfair competition laws.

UP's letter brief was written pro bono by Amy Bach, Esq.

---

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of [www.uphelp.org](http://www.uphelp.org). United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/california-medical-association-vs-aetna-u-s-healthcare-of-california/> Date: July 27,