

<u>Chauvin vs. State Farm Fire and Casualty</u> <u>Company, et al.</u>

Year: 2005 Court: U.S. District Court, Louisiana Eastern District Case Number: Civil Action 05-6454 c/w 06-0177

The Court must reject State Farm's untenable and unsupported suggested interpretation of the VPL which, in effect, seeks to render the VPL inapplicable to situations where a covered peril and a noncovered peril were each involved in the total loss to a covered property. The anti-concurrent causation language upon which State Farm relied in connection with its interpretation has already been deemed ambiguous as a matter of law by another Federal Court addressing similar arguments raised by State Farm. Tuepker, 2006 WL 1442489 at * 5.Interpretation of water damage exclusions in property policies and Louisiana's "Valued Policy Law."

UP's brief was written pro bono by John N. Ellison, Esq. and Darin J. McMullen, Esq. of Anderson Kill & Olick, PC, and Drew Ranier, Esq. of Ranier, Gayle & Elliot, LLC

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/chauvin-vs-state-farm-fire-and-casualty-company-et-al/ Date: November 26, 2024