

Circus Circus v. AIG Specialty Ins. Co.

Year: 2021 Court: United States Court of Appeals Ninth Circuit Case Number: 21-15367

In its brief, UP weighs in on the tumultuous effect the pandemic has inflicted on the entertainment industry, namely a hotel/ casino in the entertainment capital of the world. Apart from, but complimentary to, the argument of the policyholder that the presence of COVID-19 at the insured location caused physical loss or damage. UP files this brief to give this Court further context in relation to three issues: (1) the majority rule of law confirms health hazards cause "loss" or "damage" to property insurance coverage under an all risk property policy; (2) Couch on Insurance – the treatise relied upon by the district court – acknowledges that coverage exists despite lack of distinct, demonstrable physical alteration of property; and (3) whether COVID-19 actually causes "physical damage" to any insured property is a factual inquiry that cannot be determined at the pleading stage.

This brief was authored pro bono by Amber S. Finch, John N. Ellison, and Richard P. Lewis of Reed Smith LLP

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/circus-circus-v-aig-specialty-ins-co/ Date: April 15, 2025