

County of San Diego vs. Ace Property & Casualty Insurance Company

Year: 2002

Court: California Supreme Court

Case Number: S114778

The “damages” in an insurance policy should be interpreted broadly to include much more than simply monies ordered by a Court. Even if a standard CGL policy is limited only to monies ordered by a Court, Umbrella Policies, such as the one in issue here were specifically intended to provide broader coverage and fill gaps otherwise left uncovered in standard CGL policies.

UP's brief was written pro bono by Alex D. Hardiman, William Passannante, and Han J. Ahn of Anderson Kill & Olick, PC. Of Counsel: Amy Bach, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the “Find Help” section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/county-of-san-diego-vs-ace-property-casualty-insurance-company/> Date: November 26, 2024