

Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company, et al. vs. German Motors Corp. et al.

Year: 2006

Court: California Supreme Court

Case Number: S158329

Letter brief requesting Petition for Review of Appellate decision. Under a garage keepers policy the phrase “necessary or incidental to” when evaluating scope of coverage is not supported by the broader interpretation contained in published opinions in other states or any published opinions in California. Allowing the restrictive interpretation of the Appellate Court to stand contravenes California’s long standing interest in finding ways to grant, rather than deny, insurance coverage. See *Mariscal v. Old Republic Life Ins. Co.* (1996) 42 CA 4th 1617.

UP's letter brief was written pro bono by Alice J. Wolfson, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the “Find Help” section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/fidelity-and-guaranty-insurance-company-et-al-vs-german-motors-corp-et-al/> Date:

June 16, 2026