

Fluor Corp. , et. al. v. Superior Court Of The State Of California, County Of Orange

Year: 2010

Court: California Supreme Court

Case Number: S. 196592

Overly strong and incredibly broad interpretation of the anti-assignment language that the insurer was trying to invoke. Had the insurer's interpretation been accepted, insurers could deny the transfer of any CGL policy to any successor in interest, a conclusion that would drastically affect the worth and usefulness of occurrence based policies.

This brief was written by Amy Bach, Esq.