

Fluor Corp., et. al. v. Superior Court Of The State Of California, County Of Orange

Year: 2010 Court: California Supreme Court Case Number: S. 196592

Overly strong and incredibly broad interpretation of the anti-assignment language that the insurer was trying to invoke. Had the insurer's interpretation been accepted, insurers could deny the transfer of any CGL policy to any successor in interest, a conclusion that would drastically affect the worth and usefulness of occurrence based policies.

This brief was written by Amy Bach, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/fluor-corp-et-al-v-superior-court-of-the-state-of-california-county-of-orange/ Date: November 26, 2024