

Griffith Oil Company, Inc. vs. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh

Year: 2008

Court: New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Department 4

Case Number: Appellate Division Docket Nos. CA 08-00930 and CA 08-026565

UP urges the Court to reverse the lower court's ruling that a Products Completed Operations Hazard provision includes a restrictive condition that the policyholder physically possesses its product prior to the occurrence. The plain language of the insurance policy, and the fundamental purpose behind the provision, and the reasonable expectations of the policyholder do not support such a requirement.

UP's brief was written pro bono by John G. Nevius of Anderson, Kill & Olick, PC

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/griffith-oil-company-inc-vs-national-union-fire-insurance-company-of-pittsburgh/> Date: September 19, 2024