

In Re Solera Insurance Coverage Appeals

Year: 2020 Court: Supreme Court of the State of Delaware Case Number: N18C-08-315 AML CCLD

In its brief, UP argues that a liability insurer cannot deny coverage for a policyholder's defense costs solely because the policyholder did not seek the insurer's consent to incur those costs in advance when the delay did not have any prejudicial impact on the insurer. First, it is argued that Solera complied with the consent clause, and that the wording of the applicable primary policy did not require "prior" consent. Policies that require insurers consent before the policyholder may incur defense costs must expressly specify as much. Moreover, exclusionary clauses must be accorded strict and narrow construction. Therefore, the court should not read a prior consent clause into the policy. Since insurers have no reasonable grounds for denying consent, Solera complied and should not be denied defense costs. Next, it is argued that in the alternative, if the consent clause were to be read as referring to prior consent, under Delaware law, the insurers must suffer prejudice for the consent clause to foreclose coverage defense costs. UP argues that Delaware law requires prejudice for assertion of notice and consent defenses, and that the Delaware prejudice requirement applies here. Finally, it is argued that if the consent clause were to be read as referring to prior consent defenses, and that the Delaware prejudice requirement applies here. Finally, it is argued that if the consent clause were to be read as referring to prior consent defenses that prejudice requirement applies here. Finally, it is argued that if the consent clause were to be read as referring to prior consent.

This brief was drafted and submitted pro bono by Benjamin Chapple and Stephanie Chadick of Reed Smith LLP & Bert Wells, Russell M. Squire, and Sara Dennis of Covington & Burling LLP

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/in-re-solera-insurance-coverage-appeals/ Date: November 26, 2024