

<u>Julian vs. Hartford Underwriters Insurance</u> <u>Company</u>

Year: 2005

Court: California Supreme Court

Case Number: S109735

UP took the position that the scope of landslide exclusion should not encompass damage from a tree that crashed into a home. In other words, for cases involving multiple perils (perhaps one covered and one not) insurers should not be allowed to "unwind" the "predominant cause" by arguing proximate cause/concurrent causation.

UP's brief was prepared pro bono by Chipman Miles, Brian M. Miles and Joel M. Westerbrook of Chipman Miles & Associates