

Massachusetts Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. San Francisco Superior Court

Year: 2018

Court: California Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two

Case Number: A153198

UP wrote in opposition to a writ sought by the insurance company that would overturn a trial court ruling that held that an insurance company may not rescind a long term care insurance policy after the contestability period based on impermissible application questions. The trial court recognized that the legislative intent of the statute at issue prohibited such conduct. In fact, an insurance company may be fined for including impermissible questions on its application, therefore those same impermissible questions may not be grounds for rescission. UP argued in its letter that this practice of “post claims underwriting” that is, the scrutiny of a policyholder’s application only after a claim is made, all the while collecting premiums, is insidious and must be prohibited by courts of law.

UP's letter brief was authored pro bono by Daniel J. Veroff, Esq. of Kerr and Wagstaffe LLP

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the “Find Help” section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/massachusetts-mutual-life-ins-co-v-san-francisco-superior-court/> Date: November 26,