

Mesa Operating Company vs. California Union Insurance Company

Year: 1997

Court: Texas Court of Appeals, 5th District

Case Number: 05-06-00986-CV

The evidence presented supports the conclusion that the insurance industry represented that the State Board of Insurance understood the “sudden and accidental” pollution exclusion did not reduce existing coverage for pollution damages that were neither expected nor intended.

UP's brief was prepared pro bono by John A. Macdonald, Esq. of Anderson, Kill & Olick. Of Counsel: Amy Bach, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the “Find Help” section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/mesa-operating-company-vs-california-union-insurance-company/> Date: November 25,