

# **Michael Cloud v. The Bert Bell/Pete Rozelle NFL Player Retirement Plan**

Year: 2024

Court: United States Supreme Court

Case Number: 24-61

In its amicus brief, United Policyholders argues that the Supreme Court should grant certiorari to make clear that in the context of ERISA claims, federal courts are not required to give deference to fiduciaries who have denied benefit claims without engaging in a full and fair claims process. A rule that requires courts to defer to benefit denials reached under an unfair and non-compliant process does not adequately serve ERISA's important aim of ensuring that employees receive the benefits they have earned under an employer established benefit plan.

Elizabeth Hopkins of Kantor & Kantor

---

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of [www.uphelp.org](http://www.uphelp.org). United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/michael-cloud-v-the-bert-bell-pete-rozelle-nfl-player-retirement-plan/> Date: July 11,