

M&O Agencies, Inc. v. Murillo et. al.

Year: 2021 Court: Court of Appeals State of Arizona Case Number: 2 CA-SA 2021-0010

In its brief, UP argues that he 2016 and 2019 amendments to A.R.S. § 20-259.01(A) and (B) purported to create a safe harbor barring common-law clams for professional negligence against insurance agents and brokers, and creates a safe harbor for them if they used an approved form offering UIM coverage. UP argues that these amendments violate the anti-abrogation clause and are thus unconstitutional. UP goes on to add that:

I. For centuries, American courts have allowed common-law negligence actions against insurance agents and brokers who fail to procure the insurance that their clients want and need; and

II. Legal commentators have also long recognized existence of a tort duty for insurance agents and brokers to procure the insurance that their clients want and need.

The brief was authored pro bono by David L. Abney of Ahwatukee Legal Office, P.C.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/mo-agencies-inc-v-murillo-et-al/ Date: November 26, 2024