

Patriot Cleaning Services v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London

Year: 2016 Court: California Supreme Court Case Number: S233277

In a theft case, UP submitted a letter supporting review on two grounds: First, the trial and appellate courts erred in allowing a motion for summary judgment to survive despite a reasonable inference (in this case that the doors to a van could have been opened without "visible signs of forced entry" e.g., broken locks or windows) in favor of the non-moving party, the policyholder. Second, when a court is confronted with an ambiguous term in an insurance policy, particularly an exclusion which is to be construed narrowly, it must apply the doctrines of reasonable expectations and contra proferentem. In other words, if a policy term is susceptible to more than one competing, reasonable meaning, the interpretation urged by the insured should prevail. In this case, as described above, the business policy at issue contained an exclusion for theft if there were not "visible signs of forced entry" which, again, the carrier interpreted to mean broken locks or windows. The policyholder submitted evidence that the doors may have been opened by use of a "slim jim" (a flexible metal strip with a hooked end that slides between a car window and the rubber seal to open a locked door) and the carrier presented no evidence that this had not occurred, but nevertheless applied the exclusion. Thus, UP urged the court to reverse on the grounds that summary judgment was inappropriate where legal and factual disputes exist.

UP Executive Director Amy Bach, Esq. and Staff Attorney Dan Wade, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/patriot-cleaning-services-v-certain-underwriters-at-lloyds-london/ Date: November 23,