

<u>Plastics Engineering Company vs. Liberty</u> <u>Mutual Insurance Company</u>

Year: 2007 Court: Wisconsin Supreme Court Case Number: Appeal No. 2008AP333

Because the incident giving rise to liability was each individual plaintiff's continuous or repeated exposure to asbestos and not the business decision to manufacture asbestos or a failure to protect against their alleged hazards, the only plausible way to interpret "occurrence" is that it refers to the immediate proximate cause of each claimant's injuries. Therefore, the Court should conclude that each underlying claimant's exposure to asbestos constitutes a separate "occurrence." (2) The Court should also hold that each CGL policy triggered by an asbestos claim must pay "all sums" up to its policy limits, subject to the insurer's right to seek contribution from other insurers whose policies are also triggered.

UP's brief was written pro bono by Paul G. Kent and Alan G.B. Kim, Jr. of Anderson & Kent. Of Counsel: Amy Bach, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/plastics-engineering-company-vs-liberty-mutual-insurance-company/ Date: November