

RTG Furniture v. Aspen

Year: 2021

Court: United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

Case Number: 21-10490

In its brief, UP tackles the topic of COVID-19. In the argument's summary, UP states that the district court erred in holding "direct physical loss of or damage to" has only one meaning-"tangible injury to property"- because in doing so the district court: (1) ignored the plain language and context of the policies; (2) inserted a judicially created exclusion into the policies (the Mama Jo's cleaning exclusion) in contravention of relevant Florida precedent considering this issue; and (3) disregarded the rights of the parties to contract. A finding that "direct physical loss of" property does not require "structural alteration" of property is further consistent with the majority of cases considering this issue, pre-COVID-19.

This brief was authored pro bono by Hugh Lumpkin, Matthew Weaver, and Noah Goldberg of Reed Smith LLP

Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/rtg-furniture-v-aspen/ Date: April 26, 2024