

Rush Prudential HMO vs. Moran, Debra

Year: 2000 Court: U.S. Supreme Court Case Number: 00-1021

ERISA'S saving clause must defeat a claim that the law is pre-empted because it provides a remedy other than those set forth in ERISA section 502.

UP's brief was written pro bono by Arnold R. Levinson and Terrence J. Coleman of Pillsbury & Levinson, LLP. Of Counsel: Amy Bach, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/rush-prudential-hmo-vs-moran-debra/ Date: January 15, 2025