

<u>Sapa Extrusions, Inc. v. Liberty Mutual</u> Insurance Co.

Year: 2018 Court: United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit Case Number: 18-2206

In its brief, UP focuses on three grounds for reversal of the district court opinion: The District Court failed to interpret the insurance policies as a whole and rendered products liability coverage illusory in Pennsylvania. The District Court's interpretation of "occurence" contravenes the insured's reasonable expectations. The underlying complaint contains allegations of damage to other property caused by a product defect, triggering the dutiy to defend. UPdate: Pennsylvania policyholders received a favorable decision in this case, attached below.

UP's brief was authored pro bono by John G. Koch, Esq., Weisbrod Matteis & Copley PLLC

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/sapa-extrusions-inc-v-liberty-mutual-insurance-co/ Date: November 26, 2024