

[Skinner v. Northrop Grumman](#)

Year: 2011

Court: U.S. Court of Appeals, 9th Circuit

Case Number: 10-55161

Employees had received informational documents from their employer that alluded to certain levels of benefits (“annuity equivalent offset”). UP’s brief argued that any discrepancy between the information documents and the internal plan documents should be resolved in favor of the beneficiary’s reasonable expectations, citing the stated goal of ERISA statutes that employees receive accurate information.

This brief was written pro bono by Russell G. Petti.