

Taurus Holdings, Inc. vs. United States Fidelity & Guaranty

Year: 2003 Court: Florida Supreme Court Case Number: SC04-771

UP requests Court affirm that the undefined insurance policy phrase "arising out of" is ambiguous and should be construed in favor of coverage.

UP's brief was written pro bono by Wm. Scott Patterson of Jiranek, Jennings and Patterson, LLP.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/taurus-holdings-inc-vs-united-states-fidelity-guaranty/ Date: November 26, 2024