Texas Windstorm Insurance Association v. Stephen Pruski

Year: 2023
Court: Texas Supreme Court
Case Number: 23-0447

In its amicus brief, United Policyholders addresses a jurisdictional question with far-reaching implications. The issue is whether a provision of Texas Insurance Code Section 2210.575(e) renders a decision made by a Texas District Court judge who was not appointed by the proper MDL panel void. United Policyholders argues that the relevant statute is not "jurisdictional" and therefore that the thousands of decisions rendered by Texas judges since 2011 remain valid.

In May of 2024, the Texas Supreme Court issued a decision aligning with United Policyholders interpretation of the statute and explicitly citing United Policyholders amicus brief in a footnote.

Jeffrey Rainzer and Christopher Deen of Raizner Slania

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.
Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/texas-windstorm-insurance-association-v-stephen-pruski/ Date: September 11, 2026