

Travelers Casualty and Surety Co vs. Certain Underwriters at Lloyds of London

Year: 2000

Court: New York State Court of Appeals

Case Number: 2000-2299, 2000-2300

Reinsurance companies should not be free from oversight and regulation. Lloyds should not be allowed to engage in “hindsight” underwriting to change “loss” to “occurrence” and to insert a “proximate cause” requirement. UP’s co-amici are Fresh Air Fund, Center for Children and Families and Police Athletic League.

UP’s brief was written pro bono by Eugene R. Anderson, Esq. of Anderson Kill & Olick, PC.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the “Find Help” section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/travelers-casualty-and-surety-co-vs-certain-underwriters-at-lloyds-of-london/> Date:

July 17, 2024