

Travelers Indem. Co. v. McKenzie & Sons, Inc. et al.

Year: 2018

Court: Eleventh Circuit

Case Number: No. 18-13172-D

In its brief, UP argues that the District Court erred in determining Travelers did not owe a duty to defend based on Exclusion (j)(5). Examination of the plain language and intent of the exclusion makes clear that the exclusions have a limited application that does not extend to property damage within the products-completed operations hazard, but only to property damage that occurs while operations are in progress.

Molly Chafe Brockmeyer