

## **Tuepker, John and Clare vs. State Farm Fire and Casualty**

Year: 2007 Court: U.S. District Court, Mississippi Southern District Case Number: 06-61075 and 06-61076

Katrina case involving the "anti-concurrent clause" language in a State Farm policy and the burden of proof regarding exclusions. UP requested that the Court uphold the District Court's opinion finding that the "anti-concurrent causation" lead-in clause does not preclude coverage and imposing the burden on State Farm to prove that the applicability of an exclusion.

UP's brief was written pro bono by William F. Merlin, Jr. Esq. and Mary E. Kestenbaum, Esq. of Merlin Law Group, PA, John Ellison, Esq. of Anderson Kill & Olick, PC and Amy Bach, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/tuepker-john-and-clare-vs-state-farm-fire-and-casualty/ Date: November 26, 2024