

U.S. Bank v. Indian Harbor ACE Ins. Co.

Year: 2015 Court: U.S. Court of Appeals, 8th Circuit Case Number: 15-1691

Applying Delaware law, the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota correctly held that insurers may not escape liability for coverage by applying erroneous exclusions and attempting to rewrite the bargained for coverage at claim time. Where insurers desire to exclude certain conduct from coverage, such as the settlement at issue in this case, they are absolutely free to do so, but only at the time of contracting, never at claim time. Delaware courts apply an objective theory of contract interpretation, judging the intent of the parties by what they wrote in the contract. A rule to the contrary, as the insurer here desired, goes against an insured's reasonable expectations of coverage and violates public policy. UP urged the 8th Circuit Court of Appeals to affirm the District Court in all respects.

UP's brief was authored pro bono by David F. Herr, Esq. of Maslon LLP and UP Executive Director Amy Bach, Esq. and Staff Attorney Dan Wade, Esq.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of <u>www.uphelp.org</u>. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website. Source: https://uphelp.org/amicus-briefs/u-s-bank-v-indian-harbor-ace-ins-co/ Date: November 23, 2024