

Weiss, Richard D. vs. UnumProvident

Year: 2004

Court: U.S. Court of Appeals, 3rd Circuit

Case Number: 05-5428

UP argued that the Supreme Court has held that RICO enforcement does not conflict with ERISA or the McCarran-Ferguson Act and that UnumProvident's history of reprehensible bad faith claim handling, evidenced by governmental sanctions and numerous court decisions, requires that Plaintiffs be allowed their day in court.

UP's brief was written pro bono by David M. Hoffman, Esq., of the Law Office of David M. Hoffman, Denise Y. Tataryn, Esq. of Mansfield, Tanick & Cohen, PA, Amy Bach, Esq. and Eugene R. Anderson, Esq. of Anderson, Kill & Olick, PC.