

Fire victims may have insurance coverage, but

...

Herald Net

Consumer advice: There are many ways policies fall short of what homeowners actually lose. The vast majority of California homeowners have insurance that covers fire damage. But that doesn't mean they can breathe easy.

Property insurance lawyers say it's not uncommon for some insurers to do everything possible to minimize payouts, especially after catastrophic blazes such as the ones now raging in Northern and Southern California.

"In a mass loss, they're looking at their overall payments," said Joshua Haffner, a Los Angeles lawyer specializing in fire-related claims. "They'll do what they can to keep payments down. And they're getting very smart about paying less."

He said many homeowners may not be aware that property insurers have been slipping provisions into people's policies limiting coverage for damage caused by smoke and ash.

In major fires, such as the ones burning throughout California, hundreds or even thousands of homes may be consumed by flames. But thousands more can be damaged in large and small ways by plumes of smoke and ash traveling for miles beyond the burn zone.

Some policies may require homeowners to report any such damage within 90 days to qualify for coverage. Others may cap coverage at a certain level, frequently \$5,000.

Such provisions often are inserted into policies during routine renewals. Although all changes must be disclosed, many homeowners may not pay attention or may not read the fine print.

"People have to very vigilant," Haffner told me.

Then there's the matter of whether the coverage you've purchased is sufficient to accommodate increases in material and labor costs. Experts say underinsurance is one of the most common issues as homeowners try to recover and rebuild after a huge fire.

Amy Bach, executive director of the advocacy group United Policyholders, said her organization estimates that as many as two-thirds of California homeowners may not have enough coverage for a

catastrophic fire. “Chances are, you’ll be short,” she said.

“For people with legitimate claims, the insurance industry will pay legitimate claims,” said Mark Sektnan, vice president of state government relations for Property Casualty Insurers Association of America. However, he noted that fire-related damage is increasing every year. Last year, Sektnan said, the industry faced a record \$12 billion in claims resulting from California fires.

These soaring claims are resulting in higher rates statewide.

“We should anticipate that when the risk is increasing, insurers will price to that risk,” Sektnan said. He said limits on claims for smoke and ash were put in place because some lawyers would encourage homeowners to seek compensation well after the fact.

“We’re not going to rebuild somebody’s house because they had smoke damage three years before,” Sektnan said.

All experts agree: It’s up to homeowners to make sure they have sufficient coverage for their property. For those living in a high-risk areas, it’s wise to pay more for additional coverage — if they can get it. Meanwhile, replacement costs families locked in for their insurance policies may no longer reflect current conditions. Thanks to the president’s tariffs, for example, steel and concrete may be more expensive than anticipated.

Then there’s what the industry calls “demand surge,” which is when contractors and building-supply businesses start jacking up prices because, well, supply and demand.

“When there’s a catastrophe, there’s definitely going to be increases in costs,” said Michelle Smythe, a Pasadena property lawyer.

She said it’s up to insurance agents to anticipate this when writing new policies for clients, but the buck stops with the homeowner, who bears final responsibility to make sure enough coverage has been purchased.

Smythe advised to always go with higher estimates. In other words, if you’re told it will cost \$200 per square foot to rebuild your house, insure yourself for at least \$300 per square foot.

Also, ask your broker about what’s known as an extended replacement cost endorsement. This is additional coverage intended to accommodate at least a portion of unexpected cost increases.

You can also purchase extra coverage for code upgrades. For example, the rules might have changed for electrical systems or insulation since your house was built.

Code-upgrade insurance will protect you from so-called betterments that your basic policy might not address.

This is very important: Take your smartphone and walk around your home shooting a video of all your belongings. Such a record can be invaluable if an insurer disputes, say, that you owned a state-of-the-art



home-theater setup.

If you have to evacuate, save all receipts. Many homeowners policies include so-called ALE coverage, as in “additional living expenses,” which will include costs such as hotel rooms, food and rentals.

David Lazarus, a Los Angeles Times columnist who writes on consumer issues.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the “Find Help” section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/fire-victms-may-have-insurance-coverage-but/> Date: February 23, 2024