

[Why California Insurers Are Being Forced Back Into Wildfire Zones](#)

Live Insurance News Net

California Introduces New Rules to Boost Wildfire Coverage in At-Risk Areas

California has long struggled with providing adequate home insurance to residents living in wildfire-prone regions. After devastating fires in recent years and increasing climate risks, a new set of regulations aims to stabilize the insurance market and expand coverage to residents in high-risk areas. These landmark rules, set to take effect on January 2, 2025, form part of California Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara's broader Sustainable Insurance Strategy.

Background

For years, California homeowners in areas prone to wildfires have faced mounting challenges in obtaining insurance coverage. With many private insurers either reducing their presence or withdrawing entirely from such regions, residents have often had no choice but to turn to the California FAIR Plan, the state's insurer of last resort. Between 2020 and 2024, the FAIR Plan's exposure swelled from \$153 billion to \$458 billion, reflecting the growing difficulties homeowners experience in securing adequate policies.

The new regulations seek to address not only the accessibility of insurance but also the outdated methodologies insurers have traditionally relied upon. Previously, companies primarily considered historical losses to set premiums, a system ill-suited to the escalating impact of climate change on wildfire patterns.

New Regulations and What California's 85% Insurance Mandate Means for You

The centerpiece of the new rules is the introduction of advanced catastrophe modeling. Insurers will now utilize computer models incorporating extensive meteorological, geographical, and climate data to evaluate risks and determine rates. This forward-looking approach is designed to reflect the real-time dynamics of climate change, as opposed to relying solely on past data.

The information presented in this publication is for general informational purposes and is not a substitute for legal advice. If you have a specific legal issue or problem, United Policyholders recommends that you consult with an attorney. Guidance on hiring professional help can be found in the "Find Help" section of www.uphelp.org. United Policyholders does not sell insurance or certify, endorse or warrant any of the insurance products, vendors, or professionals identified on our website.

Source: <https://uphelp.org/why-california-insurers-are-being-forced-back-into-wildfire-zones/> Date: May 25, 2026

A critical component of the regulations is the requirement for insurers to cover at least 85% of homes in wildfire-prone areas, based on their statewide market share of policies. For instance, an insurer commanding 10% of California's market will need to provide coverage for 8.5% of homes in designated fire-risk zip codes. This is the first mandate of its kind for private insurers in California, aimed at reducing the FAIR Plan's overburdened role.

Notably, the regulations require transparency and oversight of the catastrophe models, with a newly appointed expert at the Department of Insurance tasked with reviewing the integrity of these systems. However, the regulations also permit insurers to file for rate hikes to reflect the increased risks outlined by the models.

Industry and Consumer Reactions

While the regulations promise to expand insurance availability, reactions from stakeholders have been mixed. The insurance industry has generally welcomed these changes, with organizations like the American Property Casualty Insurance Association describing the state's existing framework as "outdated" and commending the forward-looking approach. Companies appear optimistic that the ability to use catastrophe models will provide a more accurate means of pricing policies while mitigating the risks of unforeseen losses.

However, consumer advocacy groups have voiced strong concerns. Organizations such as Consumer Watchdog argue that the reliance on proprietary computer models raises issues of transparency, labeling them "black boxes" that could facilitate unregulated rate hikes. Critics also question whether the 85% coverage rule, despite its groundbreaking nature, will truly result in insurers offering sufficient new policies to homeowners in at-risk areas.

Carmen Balber, executive director of Consumer Watchdog, warned that policyholders might face sharply increased premiums without seeing a corresponding rise in actual policy availability. Others, like Amy Bach of United Policyholders, acknowledged the "wiggle room" in the regulations but emphasized the need for further collaboration between insurers and regulators to meet the 85% threshold.

On a more optimistic note, environmental and agricultural groups, including the Environmental Defense Fund and the California Farm Bureau, have expressed support. They believe these models are crucial for addressing complex risks such as wildfires and providing farmers and rural residents with much-needed insurance opportunities.

Conclusion

These new insurance regulations represent a significant step forward in adapting to the realities of climate change and addressing California's wildfire insurance crisis. By incorporating state-of-the-art catastrophe models, the state is leveraging advanced technology to enhance risk assessment and broaden coverage possibilities.

For homeowners, the inclusion of fire mitigation efforts as a factor in setting premiums could incentivize community-wide resilience measures, such as wildfire-resistant construction and defensible space management. Over time, as insurers refine their use of catastrophe models, these tools could offer greater predictive accuracy, catering to both urban and rural landscapes facing other climate-driven risks like flooding or hurricanes.

The next critical phase will involve monitoring the implementation of these regulations to ensure they translate into tangible results for consumers. Policymakers and insurers must collaborate to fine-tune the system, ensuring fairness, transparency, and effectiveness while considering future expansions to other sectors. With continued advancements in insurance technologies, California's efforts to stabilize and innovate its home insurance market could serve as a model for other states confronting similar challenges.