

Docket No.: 95-11376

NEW YORK SUPREME COURT
Appellate Division - Second Department

JONATHAN STONE and ROBERTA STONE,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

- against -

CONTINENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant-Appellant.

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE
CITIZENS AGAINST UNFAIR INSURANCE
PRACTICES and UNITED POLICYHOLDERS

Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C.
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1182
(212) 278-1000

Attorneys for Amici Curiae
Citizens Against Unfair
Insurance Practices and
United Policyholders

Eugene R. Anderson, Esq.
Of Counsel

Amy Bach, Esq.
United Policyholders
Citicorp Center
1 Sansome Street, Suite 1610
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 398-1002
Of Counsel

Dutchess County Clerk's Index No. 1805-1922

NY1-157982.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Defendant insurance company, Continental Insurance Company ("Continental") has appealed from an order entered November 22, 1995, by the Honorable Ralph A. Beisner, J.S.C., which granted the policyholders' motion for summary judgment and dismissed Continental's affirmative defenses of arson and fraud.

Continental sold a homeowners' insurance policy to Dr. and Mrs. Jonathan Stone, covering their home in Fishkill, New York. The Stones' home and all its contents were destroyed by fire on the night of June 30, 1991 while they were in Louisiana.

Continental disclaimed liability for the fire loss on the grounds that the policyholders allegedly engaged in arson and fraud. The policyholders then sued the insurance company for coverage.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Five years after the fire, Dr. and Mrs. Stone's insurance claim has not yet been finally resolved.

POINT I.

INSURANCE NULLIFICATION BY LITIGATION

Insurance companies win by saying "NO." As the "conservative" Supreme Court of Delaware recently held:

Insurance is different. Once an insured files a claim, the insurer has a strong incentive to conserve its financial resources balanced against the effect on its reputation of a 'hard-ball' approach. Insurance contracts are also unique in another respect.