National Analytical Laboratories, Inc.

10416 Investment Circle Ste. A Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

# Invoice

Date	Invoice#
′2010	

	Bill To	Job Site:	181 101				
		į	P.O.#		Terms	Rep	<b>5</b>
				Ē	Due on receipt		
	Description	ő	Qty		Rate	Amou	nt
Asb Lea	Iding Inspection & Report- Asbestos, Lead & Mold estos Bulk PLM Sampling - Estimated # of Samples to be taken d Bulk Sampling - Paint Chips - Flame AA - Id - Swab Testing of Culture/Tape Lift -			1 15 1 2	450.00 17.00 22.00 85.00	2.5 2	50.00 55.00 22.00 70.00
Tha	nk you for your business.		Total			\$89	7.00
·	SMALL		Balai	nce	Due	\$89	7.00
	Phone #				Web Site	,	
	(916) 361-0555				www.nall.com		

Asbestos, Lead, & Mold Building Inspection Report For:

Presented To:

9

By:

Michael Lee Certified Asbestos Consultant

National Analytical Laboratories, Inc.
10416 Investment Circle Ste. A
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
(916) 361-0555 Fax: (916) 361-0540
E-Mail: NAL1@NAL1.com Web Page: www.NAL1.com



April 26, 2010

RE: Asbestos, Lead & Mold Inspection

Dear Mr.

The following report is in regards to the asbestos building inspection completed at the Residence located at Of the nineteen (19) suspected asbestos containing sample collected one (1) was found to contain asbestos containing construction materials (ACCM). The one (1) suspected lead containing sample collected was found to contain Lead Containing Material (LCM). Michael Lee, Certified Asbestos Consultant and Registered Environmental Assessor 1(REA1), conducted the inspection.

The asbestos inspection was completed on April 26, 2010. The inspection was completed according to the EPA's Asbestos Containing Building Materials (ACBM) In-Schools Rule; 40 CFR 763.85 (Inspection and Re-Inspection). Currently, EPA regulations classify ACBM as materials containing more that 1-percent (1%) of asbestos. Cal-OSHA currently regulates asbestos to 1/10<sup>th</sup> of 1% (0.1%) and requires that certified asbestos workers conduct this work on materials containing levels at or above this amount.

EMSL Analytical, Inc. (EMSL) in Carle Place, New York, analyzed the bulk suspect asbestos containing samples utilizing Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) Method and utilizing the SW-846-7420 method analyzed the suspect LCM samples. National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) Certification #10148-10 and California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (CAELAP) Certification #2339, certifies EMSL.

Based on the sample results, the Northeast downstairs bathroom Sheetrock-Joint Compound (~20 sf) was found to contain ACCM. Based on prior inspection reporting, the upstairs computer room texture was found to be a trace amount ( $\leq 1\%$ ). At the time of the inspection, all upstairs wall covering systems had been removed.

No destructive sampling was conducted during the site visit, in the event that future renovation and/or demolition work reveals any unforeseen suspect materials; the contractor shall contact the project manager for further testing. All square footage should be verified by contractor.

The samples from the White Paint wall surfaces were found to contain LCM levels above the OSHA Limit of Detection. Thus, a certified lead worker must complete any work that will disturb the painted surfaces at the site including prep, in-place management, and/or abatement. SECTION I- ASBESTOS

Federal and state regulations require that anyone disturbing asbestos containing materials are properly trained certified and have the required respiratory protection and medical surveillance.

\*Although not all the rooms or materials throughout the site were sampled, the like materials that were not tested will be treated as homogeneous to the materials that were tested and will be considered as containing ACCM.

The locations and results from this sampling found to contain ACCM are as follows:

Sample ID#	Material	Location	Results
	Sheetrock-Joint Compound	Downstairs Bathroom, Southwest Corner (-20 sf)	*Trace,<1% Chrysotile

Based on the regulatory requirements by the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), Regulation 40 CFR, Part 61, Subpart M the following must occur "If the asbestos content is less than 10 percent, verification shall be made using the point counting method specified in Appendix A, Subpart F, 40 CFR Part 763, Section 1.7.2.4, Polarized Light Microscopy, Qualification of Asbestos Content."

Samples found to contain a Trace, <1% amount of Chrysotile asbestos were re-analyzed utilizing the EPA 600/M4-82-020, Point Count Method to determine if the amount of ACCM is less than or greater than 1%. The results for the Material sample were found to contain .025% asbestos. Based on the results the surface materials will be treated to contain a Trace <1% Chrysotile asbestos containing construction material (ACCM).

Although neither EPA nor Local County Air Quality regulates materials at <1% level, it does fall under the jurisdiction of OSHA in regards to worker protection. Based on this, the work must be completed by a certified asbestos contractor but can be disposed of as general construction debris.

NAL recommends that a certified asbestos abatement contractor be retained to remove the friable and nonfriable materials prior to any scheduled renovation/demolition work being completed at the site. Prior to the work process starting a work plan or specifications in regards to the abatement process should be completed and distributed to the abatement contractors during the job walk at the site. On-Site Observation, conducted by an independent third party CAC should be completed to verify the work plan/specification are being followed and to confirm that during the abatement work the outside air was clean. Upon completion of the abatement work air clearance sampling should be completed in order for the general contractor to reoccupy the work area(s) without concern for exposure to asbestos airborne fibers to their employees. Once a certified asbestos contractor has removed the ACCM, following EPA and OSHA requirements and air clearance sampling has been completed and cleared, the renovation or demolition work can be completed by the general contractor.

The following samples were non-asbestos containing materials:

Sample #	Material	Location	Results
	Stucco	Second Floor, East Wall at Sliding Glass Door	None Detected
1	Plaster	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom, Ceiling, East Side at Damage	None Detected
and the same	Plaster	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom, West Ceiling at Closet	None Detected
	Plaster	First Floor, North Wall	None Detected
1	Texture	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom Bathroom, East Wall at Base (-225 sf)	None Detected
	Sheetrock-Joint Compound	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom Bathroom, SW Corner	None Detected
100	Texture	Stairwell, East Wall	None Detected
The second of the second of the second	Texture	Laundry Room, North Wall	None Detected
	Texture	Living Room Ceiling, North Area at Damage (-1200 sf)	None Detected
1	Sheetrock-Joint Compound	Laundry Room, Southeast Corner (-20 sf)	None Detected
	Joint-Compound	Living Room, North Area at Damage Seam Tape (-10 sf)	None Detected
	9" Floor Tile	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Top Layer (-180 sf)	None Detected
(Montpolify Str.)	Floor Tile Mastic	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Top Layer	None Detected
	9" Floor Tile	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Second Layer	None Detected
)	Floor Tile Mastic	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Second Layer	None Detected
AND THESE STEE	White Square Pattern Linoleum	Laundry Room Floor at Door (-48 sf)	None Detected
46	Linoleum Mastic	Laundry Room Floor at Door	None Detected
produced reserve on the	Stucco Felt	Second Floor Northeast Bedroom, East Wall	None Detected

#### SECTION II- LEAD

The lead suspect samples were collected according to the Housing Urban Development (HUD) Guidelines, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and California Public Health Department (formally DHS), who regulate and require the abatement or in-place management of LCM hazards equal to or greater than 1.0 milligram per square centimeter (1.0 mg/cm²) of lead or more than 0.5% lead by weight. The following regulation shall be adhered to because OSHA considers all surfaces to contain lead: OSHA's 29 CFR 1926.62, California Occupational Safety and Health Standard, Title 8 (Cal/OSHA 8 CCR 1532.1).

Since the laboratory results are reported by weight percent, during the collection of the suspect LCM samples the paint must be removed down to, but not including, the bare substrate (wood, metal, etc.). Inclusion of the any amount of the substrate material in the paint sample will dilute the sample result(s).

Once the determination is made on where the LCM is located, the In-place Management or the Abatement of the LCM can commence. If the In-Place Management method is to be used, prior to the repainting of the effected surface areas, the loose flaky paint must be removed until the remaining paint adheres smoothly to the substrate. Once this task is completed, the surface area can be repainted without the possibility of paint being dislodged and falling to the floor or ground areas. If the Abatement method of all surfaces is to be completed, then the debris and any loose flaky paint must be bagged or burrito wrapped prior to the removal of the debris from the work area(s) and subsequently the site. Because the paint samples listed below were found to contain LCM, all areas where the Lead Containing Material will be disturbed will require abatement, encapsulation, and/or prep work by a certified lead worker.

Although not all the rooms or materials were sampled, the like materials that were tested and their results will be treated as homogeneous and the materials will be treated as containing LCM throughout the site.

The locations and results of the suspect samples found to be Lead Containing Material are as follows:

Sample ID#	Material	Location	Concentration % By Weight
7	White Paint	Second Floor Northeast Bedroom, South Window	11%

Prior to the demolition work being completed and/or the transporting of the debris from the site, Health and Safety Code 25157.8 (AB 2784 National Resources) requires that all lead debris be sampled for Waste Characterization. This will assist the Contractor in making a determination of whether or not the material is to be considered Hazardous or Non-Hazardous Lead waste or general construction debris. The sequence of testing to be completed by the Contractor is as follows:

- Total Threshold Limit concentration (TTLC) with a result of 50 mg/kg or more of lead must be retested using Soluble Threshold Limit concentration (STLC) method;
- A STLC result of 5.0 mg/l or more must be retested using Total Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP);
- A TCLP result of 5.0 mg/l or more deems the waste as RCRA;
- A TCLP result of <5mg/l is categorized as State Hazardous Waste (Class 1); and</li>
- All waste with total lead greater than 350 ppm (mg/kg); but less than 1,000 ppm (mg/kg) disposed
  of in California, must be disposed of at a Class 1 Hazardous waste landfill, or at other landfills that
  have specific permits as non-hazardous waste unless the waste has been deemed hazardous for
  another reason.

The California hazardous waste threshold for total lead is 1,000 ppm and the soluble threshold concentration (STLC) for lead is 5ppm. A TCLP test shall be completed on the waste to determine if it is

lead hazardous waste or lead waste that must be sent to a certified waste facility or general construction waste facility.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

In order to stabilize the current lead conditions, NAL recommends Lead Certified Workers conduct inplace management work of the LCM surfaces scheduled for renovation/demolition. Once the abatement, in-place management, and/or prep work is completed and the areas are stabilized, the existing surfaces will be in good condition and not create a health or safety concern to the workers conducting the general construction work at the site. A Scope of Work and/or specifications should be utilized to conduct the lead work at the site.

#### SECTION III- MOLD

In collecting a history of the residence, it was reported that a fire had damaged the second floor bedroom (E side of residence, second floor). The bedroom is directly above the downstairs East side bedroom. Given the reporting, it logically follows that water used in fighting the fire would impact the downstairs bedroom ceiling and wall systems. With this information given, Lee performed a visual inspection of the downstairs bedroom walls and ceiling systems.

Upon the completion of the visual inspection, Two (2) suspect mold bulk samples were collected. The suspect mold samples were collected from the structure utilizing the following criteria: a piece of affected material was collected thus capturing a sample of spores and suspect or actual mold growth from the specific surface area. The samples were collected from suspected mold growth. Typically, mold growth in a dwelling indicates an excess moisture condition.

- The sample collected from 1<sup>st</sup> Floor Bedroom, NE Room, West Side Ceiling (back side of paper), was found to have problematic mold spores that contained 1+Chaetomium sp. 4+ Penicillum/Aspergillus sp and 2+ Scopulariopsis sp. Rare Stachybotrys chartarum, 1+ Yeast
- The samples collected from the 1<sup>st</sup> Floor Bedroom, NE Room, Bathroom, East Wall, was found to have problematic mold spores that contained, 4+ Arthrinium sp., Rare Basidiomycetes, Rare Cladosporium sp., 4+ Penicillum/Aspergillus sp., Rare Stachybotrys chartarum, 1+Ulocladium sp.

Reported estimated concentration is a subjective estimate of the spore concentration of the sample that is based on the following levels: low = Rare, Medium =1+ and 2+ and High = 3+. These species are known to produce toxins and that have been known to cause human infections. These conditions are not deadly, although, if the mold and water intrusion problems present in the property are not remedied the level of irritant could escalate.

Visual inspection of the Southeast corner baseboard also showed active mold growth patterns at the back side of the baseboard.

#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

Interpretation of the history and the mold growth leads me to believe the water damage from the fire was never addressed. With this in mind, the ceiling and wall systems are considered to be a mold growth environment, with the possibility of contaminating the interior air quality. Visual inspection of the West side ceiling showed significant growth on the structural system of the ceiling/2<sup>nd</sup> story flooring. As there is mold growth out as far as the West wall above the closet, and seen at both the North East (bathroom East wall) and at the Southeast corner (behind baseboard), we believe the room to be mold contaminated.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

Given the extent of the mold growth (from the East wall to the ceiling area at West wall), we recommend remediation of no less than:

A 2' section of removal around all wall systems (including the bathroom and laundry room); Removal of entire ceiling system;

Once the removal has been performed, visually inspect the wall systems. If any water damage is seen within the wall cavity, remove 2' above/2' past any visible growth or damage.

We recommend all remediation to be performed by a qualified and experienced mold remediation company. As the bathroom sheetrock-joint compound is asbestos containing construction material, an asbestos certified company is, at the least, to be utilized in the abatement of the wall systems in the bathroom.

We recommend a clearance inspection for both asbestos and mold be performed at the completion of all abatement/remediation.

If you have any questions regarding this report or if we can be of further assistance, please contact our office.

Reviewed and submitted by:

Michael Lee

Certified Asbestos Consultant #06-4047

DHS# 10531

06-4047







208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle Place, NY 11514

Fax: (516) 997-7528 Email: carleplacelab@emsl.com

Attn:

National Analytical Laboratories (NAL 10416 Investment Circle Suite A

Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

(916) 361-0540 Fax:

Phone: (916) 361-0555

Project:

EMSL Proj:

Customer ID:

Customer PO:

EMSL Order:

Received:

Analysis Date: 4/27/2010

04/27/10 9:28 AM

#### Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via EPA 600/R-93/116 Method using **Polarized Light Microscopy**

		Non-Asbestos			<u>Asbestos</u>	
Sample	Description	Appearance	%	Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Type
<u> </u>	Second fl, E wall at sliding glass door/stucco	Gray Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	1st fl, NE bedrm, ceiling, E side at damage/plaste	Gray/White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	1st fl, NE bedrm, W ceiling at closet/plaster	Gray/White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
menor d	1st fl, North wall/plaster	Gray Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	1st fl, NE bedroom bathrm, E wall at base/texture	Tan Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	1st fl, NE bedroom bathrm, SW corner/sheetrock- JC	Tan/White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Stairwell, East wall/texture	Tan/White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected

Ana	ys	t(s)	)

Michelle La Vallee (19)

Michelle McGowan, Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

Due to magnification limitations inherent in PLM, asbestos fibers in dimensions below the resolution capability of PLM may not be detected. The limit of detection as stated in the method is 1%. The above test report relates only to the items tested and may not be reproduced in any form without the express written approval of EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL's liability is limited to the cost of analysis. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NYLAP or any agency of the

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, inc. 208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle PlaceNY NVLAP Lab Code 101048-10, CA ELAP 2339; NYS ELAP 11469



208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle Place, NY 11514

Fax: (516) 997-7528 Email: carleplacelab@emsl.com

Attn:

National Analytical Laboratories (NAL 10416 Investment Circle Suite A

Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Fax:

(916) 361-0540

Phone: (916) 361-0555

Project:

Customer ID:

Customer PO:

Received:

04/27/10 9:28 AM

EMSL Order:

EMSL Proj:

Analysis Date:

4/27/2010

#### Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via EPA 600/R-93/116 Method using **Polarized Light Microscopy**

		Non-Asbestos			<u>Asbestos</u>	
Sample	Description	Appearance	%	Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Type
	Laundry rm, North wall/texture	White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Livingrm ceiling, N area at damage/texture	Tan Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
5	Laundry rm, SE corner/sheetrock-joint compound	White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Downstairs bathrm, SW corner/sheetrock- joint comp	Tan/White Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	<1% Chrysotile
emorals mas	Livingrm, N area at damage seam tape/joint-compoun	Tan Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Downstairs hallway at door, top layer/9" floor til	Tan Non-Fibrous Homogeneous	20%	5 Cellulose	80% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Downstairs hallway at door, top layer/floor tile m	Tan Fibrous Homogeneous	40%	5 Cellulose	60% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected

	Ana	lyst	(s)
--	-----	------	-----

Michelle La Vallee (19)

Michelle McGowan, Laboratory Manager

or other approved signatory

Due to magnification limitations inherent in PLM, asbestos fibers in dimensions below the resolution capability of PLM may not be detected. The limit of detection as stated in the method is 1%. The above test report relates only to the items tested and may not be reproduced in any form without the express written approval of EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL's liability is limited to the cost of analysis. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. 208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle PlaceNY NVLAP Lab Code 101048-10, CA ELAP 2339, NYS ELAP 11469



208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle Place, NY 11514

Fax: (516) 997-7528 Email: carleplacelab@emsl.com

Attn:

National Analytical Laboratories (NAL 10416 Investment Circle Suite A

Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

(916) 361-0540 Fax:

Phone: (916) 361-0555

Project:

EMSL Proj:

Customer ID:

Customer PO:

EMSL Order:

Received:

Analysis Date: 4/27/2010

04/27/10 9:28 AM

#### Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via EPA 600/R-93/116 Method using **Polarized Light Microscopy**

			<u>Asbestos</u>			
Sample	Description	Appearance	%	Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Type
	Downstairs hallway at door, seocnd layer/9" FT	Tan Non-Fibrous Homogeneous	10%	Cellulose	90% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Downstairs hallway at door, second layer/FT mastic	Brown Non-Fibrous Homogeneous	25%	Cellulose	75% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Laundry rm fl at door/white sq patt lino	Tan Fibrous Heterogeneous	25%	Cellulose	75% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	Laundry rm fl at door/lindeum mastic	Tan Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
	2nd fl NE bedroom, East wall/stucco felt	Black Fibrous Homogeneous	40%	Cellulose	60% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected

Ana	lysti	(s)

Michelle LaVallee (19)

Michelle McGowan, Laboratory Manager

or other approved signatory

Due to magnification limitations inherent in PLM, asbestos fibers in dimensions below the resolution capability of PLM may not be detected. The limit of detection as stated in the method is 1%. The above test report relates only to the items tested and may not be reproduced in any form without the express written approval of EMSL Analytical, Inc. EMSL's liability is limited to the cost of analysis. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. This report must not be used to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, inc. 208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle PlaceNY NVLAP Lab Code 101048-10, CA ELAP 2339; NYS ELAP 11469

Printed: 4/27/2010 6:24:15 PM



208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle Place, NY 11514

Phone: (516) 997-7251 Fax: (516) 997-7528 Email: carleplacelab@emsl.com

Attn:

National Analytical Laboratories (NAL 10416 Investment Circle Suite A

Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

(916) 361-0540 Fax:

Phone: (916) 361-0555

Project:

EMSL Proj:

Customer ID:

Customer PO:

EMSL Order:

Received:

Analysis Date:

4/27/2010

04/27/10 9:28 AM

#### Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Material via EPA 600/R-93/116. Quantitation using 400 Point Count Procedure.

				Non-	<u>Asbestos</u>	
Sample	Description	Appearance %	%	Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Type
PANNET PANNET	Downstairs bathrm,	Tan/White			99.75% Non-fibrous (d	ther) 0.25% Chrysotile
	SW corner/sheetrock- laint comp	Non-Fibrous Homogeneous				

Analyst(s)

Michelle La Vallee (1)

Michelle McGowan, Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

Disclaimer Some samples may contain asbestos fibers present in dimensions below PLM resolution limits. The limit of detection as stated in the method is 0.25%. EMSL Analytical Inc suggests that samples reported as <0.25% or none detected undergo additional analysis via TEM. The above test report relates only to the items tested. This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of EMSL Analytical Inc. This test report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the United States Government. EMSL Analytical Inc., bears no responsibility for sample collection activities, analytical method limitations, or the accuracy of results when requested to separate layered samples. EMSL Analytical Inc., liability is limited to the cost of sample analysis. The test results contained within this report meet the requirements of NELAC unless. otherwise noted. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. imples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. 208 Stone Hinge Lane, Carle PlaceNY NVLAP Lab Code 101048-10, CA ELAP 2339, NYS ELAP 11469



Page 1 of I

National Analy	tical Laboratories, Inc.	Job Site/Job #:		
Client#-Lot#	0/1		Date	4/26/2010
			Sampling Date:	4/26/2010
Phone Number	i.		Sampling Time	9:30:00 AM
FAX Number	-		Type Of Work	PLM-BI
Contact	Paul		No. of Samples	19
E-Mail Address			Turnaround	6 hours

Num.	Sample ID#	Location/Description
1	7685-1	Second Floor, East Wall at Sliding Glass Door / Stucco (~15 sf)
2	7685-2	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom, Ceiling, East Side at Damage / Plaster (~700 sf)
3	7685-3	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom, West Ceiling at Closet / Plaster
4	7685-4	First Floor, North Wall / Plaster
5	7685-5	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom Bathroom, East Wall at Base (-225 sf) / Texture
6	7685-6	First Floor, Northeast Bedroom Bathroom, Southwest Corner / Shoetrock-Joint Compound
3	7686-7	Stairwell, East Wall / Texture (~150 sf)
Б	7685-8	Laundry Room, North Wall / Texture (~200 sf)
9	7685-9	Living Room Ceiling, North Area at Damage (-1200 sf) / Texture
10	7685-10	Laundry Room, Southeast Comer (-20 sf) / Sheetrock-Joint Compound
- 4	7 <del>6</del> 85-11	Downstairs Bathroom, Southwest Corner (-20 sf) / Sheetrack-Joint Compound
12	7685-12	Living Room, North Area at Damage Seam Tape (-10 sf) / Joint-Compound
13	7685-13A	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Top Layer (-180 st) / 9" Floor Title.
1.1	7685-13B	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Top Layer / Floor Tile Mastic
15	7695-13C	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Second Layer / 9" Floor Tile
16	7685-13D	Downstairs Hallway at Door, Second Layer / Floor Tite Mastic
17	7685-14A	Laundry Room Floor at Door (-48 sf) / White Square Pattern Linoteum
18	7685-14B	Laundry Room Floor at Door / Linoleum Mastic
19	7886-16	Second Floor Northeast Bedroom, East Wall / Stucco Fell

\*IF RESULTS ARE LESS THAN 1%, PLEASE 400 POINT COUNT

Chain of Custod	ly Information		
Date/ Time	Received By Signature	Tine 1	Due:
4/26/26	("ulotterull	4/27/10	)
Time	Received By Signature	Time	At:
	Date/ Time	Time Received By Signature Time Received By Signature	Date/ Received By Signature Date/ Time   Date/   Date/ Time   Date/ Date

QC Batch Summary

Log Book#	
Page#	~

# Test Report:Lead in Paint Chips by Flame AAS (SW 846 3050B\*/7000B)

Client Sample Description	Lab ID	D.L.		Dilution	Reported Concentration	Actual Concentration
Method Blank				1	0.041 mg/L	0.041 mg/L
7685-1L	061003700-0001	0.010	n/a	•	11 % wt	10.8 % wt

7685-1L	061003700-0001	0.010	n/a	9	1	1 % wt		10.8 % wt
QUALITY CONTROL DATA,	Pb-FLAA							
			ACCU	IRACY			PR	ECISION
MATRIX SPIKE/ MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICA	SPK CONC.  TE % wt	MS %wt	%MS	MSD % wt	%MSD	ACP %MS	RPD	ACP %RPD
Lead	0.39761431	0.42	93.3	100,000				
AUDIT DATA	LAB. RECE	EIVING#	LAB Sample	e ID	LAB BATCH ID	QC	STD#	ANALYZED
•	060906	079	060906079-0	0022	06Q100427-001			
			ACCL	IRACY			PR	ECISION
LABORATORY CONTRO STANDARD/DUPLICATI	e manualesta.	LCS	WAY 0000	LCSD	52 St (500 Sapras)	ACP %LCS	-	ACP %RPD
Lead	% wt	% wt 4.5	%LCS 104.1	% wt	%LCSD	80120.	RPD	70131-15
	1.51							
AUDIT DATA	LABORAT	LAB	OL STANDARD (	1.00)	06Q100427-001	QC	STD#	ANALYZED
	RESU		N-M-SA	SISION	06Q 100427-001	Ti.		
DUPLICATE	Sample % wt	Duplicate % wt	RPD	ACP %RPD	$\neg$			
Lead	0.048	0.044	8.7		<del>-</del> i			
AUDIT DATA	LAB. RECE	EIVING#	LAB Sample	e ID	LAB BATCH ID		-	ANALYZED
	060906	079	060906079-0	0022	06Q100427-001		1	
		ACC	CURACY					
REPORTING LIMIT	SPK CONC.	RLVS		ACP				
VERIFICATION SAMPL	E %wt	% wt	%RLVS	%RLVS				
Lead	0.01	0.01	100.0					
AUDIT DATA		LAB	ID		LAB BATCH ID	QC	STD#	ANALYZED

Reporting Limit Verfication Sample (RLVS)

06Q100427-001



Page 1 of 1

Login#

National Analy	tical Laboratories, Inc.	Job Site/Job #;	
Client#-Lot#	0/2	D	ate 4/26/2010
		Sampling Da	te: 4/26/2510
Phone Number		Sampling Ti	ne 9:30:00 AM
FAX Number	-	Type Of Wo	rk: Lead B!
Contact	Faul	No. of Samp	es 1
E-Mail Address		Turnarqui	id: 6 hours

Num.	Sample ID#	Location/Description
4	7685-1L	Second Floor Northeast Bedroom, South Window \ White Paint
		(1/35/35) White Faili

\*IF RESULTS ARE LESS THAN 1%, PLEASE 400 POINT COUNT

	Chain of Custor	ly Information		
Rekased By Signature	Date/	Received By Signature	Date/	
m	4/della	WOUGHO MAL	4/27	Due
Released By Signature	Date/ Time	Received By Signature	Date! Finie	10
				At:

MicroTest® Laboratories Inc. AIHA EMPAT No: 160934 8080 Madison Ave., Suite 100B Fair Oaks, CA 95628 Tel. (916) 567-9808 Fax: (916) 436-3602

microtestlabsinc@yahoo.com

CLIENT: NAL, Inc.

10416 Investment Circle Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

PROJECT:

SAMPLE COLLECTED BY:

TYPE OF SAMPLE: Bulk (x2)

COLLECTION DATE/TIME: 4/26/10

SUBMISSION DATE/TIME: 4/26/10

ACCESSION NO: 011520-21

TYPE OF ANALYSIS: Direct Preparation, Microscopic Examination

REPORT DATE: 4/26/10

REPORTED & REVIEWED BY:

# DIRECT MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION

#### The "Samples" collected demonstrated the following:

Pollen/ Molds Observed: Sample ID Amorphous General Debris/Description Miscellaneous Mycelia or Sporulating Structures Impression 1+ Chaetomium sp. 7865-1MB 4+ Penicillium/Aspergillus sp. 1st Floor NE 2+ Amorphous Debris 2+ Scopulariopsis sp. Mold Growth 2+ Particulate Debris Rare Stachybotrys chartarum Room, W. Side Ceiling 1+ Yeast (Penicillium sp. & Aspergillus sp. observed) 4+ Arthrinium sp. 7865-2MB Rare Basidiomycetes 1st Floor, NE 2+ Amorphous Debris Rare Cladosporium sp. Mold Growth 2+ Particulate Debris Room, E. Bath, 4+ Penicillium/Aspergillus sp. E. Wall Rare Stachybotrys chartarum 1+ Ulocladium sp.

**Note:** Quantities of molds seen are graded from Rare to 4+, with 4+ denoting the highest numbers observed on microscopic examination. "Rare" indicates presence, but in very low numbers.

Following are general comments regarding the molds detected from the samples collected and submitted:

Arthrinium sp. is a cellulose decomposer and is widespread in soil, air and plant debris. No toxic related diseases are of record to date but one species is considered an allergen.

MicroTest® Laboratories Inc. AIHA EMPAT No: 160934 8080 Madison Ave., Suite 100B Fair Oaks, CA 95628 Tel. (916) 567-9808

Fax: (916) 436-3602 microtestlabsine@yahoo.com

#### DIRECT MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION 011520-21 (CONTINUED)

Aspergillus sp. is a cosmopolitan, saprobic fungus of decomposing plant material and soils (especially cultivated soils) and can appear almost any color. It is composed of approximately 200 species, with about 20 species that have been reported from human and animal infections. Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus niger are the most common pathogenic species worldwide. Health effects vary by species but many species are reported to be allergenic. It is reported to cause ear infections. Some species produce toxins that might have significant health effects in humans. Aspergillus is one of the most infectious of molds but do not commonly infect those with normal immune systems. In immunocompromised individuals, however, the disease Aspergillosis is a very significant and potentially deadly health concern.

**Chaetomium sp.** is an ascomycetous fungi found on a variety of cellulose containing substrates, including paper and plant compost, as well as on sheetrock. It is reported to be allergenic though is rarely involved in disease.

**Penicillium sp.** is the most common blue-green mold that exists ubiquitously in nature. They are commonly found in aerosol samples and are frequently found in soil, food, cellulose and grains. It is also found in paint and compost piles. It may cause hypersensitivity pneumonitis, allergic alveolitis in susceptible individuals. It is reported to be allergenic (skin). It is commonly found in carpet, wallpaper and in interior fiberglass duct insulation. Many species can produce mycotoxins. It is a common cause of asthma (Type I). Acute symptoms and include edema and bronchiospasms. Chronic cases may develop pulmonary emphysema.

Scopulariopsis sp. is found on a wide variety of materials as well as being found in house dust. It is commonly found in soil and is an agent of deterioration, especially in cellulose substrates. It is associated with type III allergy. It has been reported to cause mycotic keratitis and rarely bronchopulmonary disease, onchomycosis and otomycosis. Known to infect the nails (usually toenail) and is rarely associated with subcutaneous and invasive infection at various sites, primarily in immunocompromised patients. No species are known to produce mycotoxins, but one species is able to produce arsine gas if growing on an arsenic substrate, such as wallpaper covered with paris green.

Stachybotrys chartarum (atra) thrives on water-damaged cellulose-rich materials such as sheet rock, paper, ceiling tiles, cellulose-containing insulation backing and wallpaper. The presence of this fungus in buildings is significant because of the mold's ability to produce mycotoxins, which are extremely toxic. Exposure to these toxins can occur through inhalation, ingestion or dermal exposure. Areas with relative humidity of 55% that are subject to temperature fluctuations are ideal for toxin production. Individuals with chronic exposure to the toxin produced by this fungus reported cold and flu symptoms including sore throats, diarrhea, headaches, fatigue, dermatitis, intermittent hair loss and generalized malaise. Exposure to the toxin may also exacerbate allergic type symptoms, especially in persons who have a history of hypersensitivity diseases such as asthma, pneumonitis and severe sinusitis. Allergic rhinitis and conjunctivitis may be other conditions exhibited. The toxin produced by this fungus may suppress the immune system. Symptoms usually disappear after all contaminated materials are removed. This mold is rarely pathogenic for humans.

*Ulocladium sp.* is found in soil and on decaying plants. It has also been isolated from cellulose substrates and water-damaged building materials. It is reported to be a major allergen.

**Yeasts** are unicellular budding fungi that exhibit a growth form shared by a range of unrelated fungus species. They are the most common fungi isolated in the clinical laboratory. Some primary filamentous species, including those pathogenic to animals, adopt yeast or yeast-like forms as a part of the life cycle or under certain environmental conditions. Yeasts are considered opportunistic pathogens, possibly causing disease in patients with suppressed immune systems. Yeasts are involved in many natural and human-controlled fermentation processes.

MicroTest® Laboratories, Inc. does not associate these analyses with any event or significance other than the organisms were present in the submitted samples. The interpretation of this report should not rule out the presence or absence of other organisms.