

FILED

May 14, 2026

EVA McCLINTOCK, Clerk

JDelaVega Deputy Clerk

Filed 5/14/26

CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SEVEN

LEVON NARGIZYAN,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

STATE FARM GENERAL
INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant and Respondent.

B342340

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. 21STCV32834)

**ORDER MODIFYING OPINION
AND CERTIFYING OPINION
FOR PUBLICATION**

[NO CHANGE IN APPELLATE
JUDGMENT]

THE COURT:

It is ordered that the opinion filed herein on April 15, 2026 be modified as follows:

1. The paragraph commencing at the bottom of page 28 with “Nargizyan also points” and ending at the top of page 29 with “as the insured” is modified to have the following sentence removed:

He also points to testimony from Agle that 4X Forensic was previously retained by State Farm more than 3,000 times, arguing this extensive history

suggests the firm was biased in reaching conclusions favorable to State Farm's position.

2. On page 29, in the first full paragraph the word "likely" is added to the sentence starting with "A reasonable jury" and ending with "denying the claim" so that the sentence reads:

A reasonable jury could conclude State Farm failed to conduct a sufficient investigation into the likely duration of the leak and ignored available evidence and alternative theories of the pinhole's creation before denying the claim.

3. In the paragraph commencing at the bottom of page 30, beginning with "An insurer is" and ending at the top of page 31 with "the company money," the phrase "using a biased expert who rendered a report that lacked foundation" is changed to "ignoring available evidence" so the relevant sentence reads:

The same evidence that raises a triable issue on the implied covenant cause of action also raises a triable issue on whether State Farm acted in willful and conscious disregard of Nargizyan's rights by failing to conduct a thorough investigation, ignoring available evidence, and changing its coverage decision without a reasonable justification.

There is no change in the appellate judgment.

The opinion in the above-entitled matter filed on April 15, 2026 was not certified for publication in the Official Reports. For good cause it now appears that the opinion should be published in the Official Reports and it is so ordered.


SEGAL, Acting P. J.


FEUER, J.


STONE, J.